arXiv:0812.3006v4 [hep-th] 2 Jul 2009

Hawking radiation as tunneling and the unified first law of thermodynamics at the apparent horizon in the FRW universe*

Ke-Xia Jiang[a,†], San-Min Ke[b], Dan-Tao Peng[a] and Jun Feng[a]

[a] *Institute of Modern Physics, Northwest University, Xian 710069, China*

[b] *College of Science, Chang'an University, Xi'an 710064, China*

(Dated: July 2, 2009)

Abstract

Relations between the tunneling rate and the unified first law of thermodynamics at the apparent horizon of the FRW universe are investigated. The tunneling rate arises as a consequence of the unified first law of thermodynamics in such a dynamical system. The analysis shows obviously how the tunneling is intimately connected with the unified first law of thermodynamics through the principle of conservation of energy.

PACS numbers: 04.70.Dy, 03.65.Xp, 04.62.+v

*Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 10875060 and Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Education Bureau of China under Grant No. 07JK394. And Feng J. is supported by NWU Graduate Cross-discipline Funds (08YJC24).

†E-mail address: kexiaJiang@126.com, kexiachiang@gmail.com

Since Hawking's original work [1], Hawking radiation has been widely discussed for various interests. Several derivations of Hawking radiation present in literatures [2]. Recently, a semi-classical tunneling method [3, 4, 5, 6], attracts many people's attention. The main ingredient of this method is the consideration of energy conversion in tunneling of a thin sell from the hole. Many calculations [7] have been investigated by using this method, and the approach works perfectly. More recently, the general analysis [8, 9, 10, 11] found this method gives an interesting result: the tunneling rate $\Gamma \sim e^{\triangle S}$ arises as a consequence of the first law of thermodynamics for horizons holds the form, $dE = TdS - PdV$.

However, most analysis of Hawking radiation effect based on static background spacetimes. There exist an event horizon, which is a global concept can be used to define the Hawking temperature. Locally, it is not clear whether there is an event horizon associated with a certain dynamical spacetime and this causes the difficulty to discuss in a dynamical situation. Recently, Hayward *et al.* [12] proposed a locally defined Hawking temperature for dynamical black holes where the Parikh-Wilczek [4] tunneling method is used.

Interestingly [13], on the apparent horizon of the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker(FRW) universe, which is a dynamical system, the Friedmann equation can be rewritten as a thermodynamical identity, $dE_h = TdS + WdV$. Further, using the tunneling method, Cai *et al.* [14] recently proved that there does exist Hawking radiation associated with the locally defined apparent horizon of the FRW universe. The Hawking temperature is measured by an observer with the Kodama vector [15] inside the horizon.

To establish thermodynamics of dynamical spacetimes and to show how it is related with gravity are important problems in General Relativity. Understanding Hawking radiation is one of the key issues in steps toward this aim. In this paper, we would like to extend the work in Ref. [9] to the FRW universe, and investigate how the tunneling is intimately connected with the (unified) first law of thermodynamics through the principle of conservation of energy in such a dynamical system, and whether the result that the tunneling formulas arises as a consequence of the first law of thermodynamics for horizons holds the form, $dE_h = TdS - PdV$, is still reasonable or not. Indeed, our analysis finally shows that, from the unified first law of thermodynamics at the apparent horizon of the FRW universe, one can also get the

tunneling formula $\Gamma \sim e^{\triangle S}$.

Throughout the paper, we take the unit convention $G = c = k_B = \hbar = 1$.

Let's start from the unified first law of thermodynamics at the apparent horizons in the FRW universe, which has the form

$$dE_h = TdS + WdV, \tag{1}$$

where $W = (\rho - P)/2$ is the work density with ρ and P are the energy density and pressure of the perfect fluid, respectively. Actually, two kinds of interpretations can be used to understand the identity (1). Dynamically, it is the energy balance under infinitesimal virtual displacements of the horizon normal to itself, from this perspective, it must be linked with conservation of energy and thus to the tunneling process. In standard thermodynamics, it is a connection between two quasi-static equilibrium states of a system, which differing infinitesimally in the extensive variables volume, entropy and energy by dV, dS and dE_h, respectively, while having same values the intensive variables temperature T, pressure P and density ρ. Both of the states are spherically symmetric solutions of Einstein equations with the radius of horizon differing by dr_h while having the same source $T_{\mu\nu}$.

Correspondingly, the whole setup can be considered from two different sides. Firstly, as a result of tunneling, some matter either tunnels out or in across the horizon, therefore energy of the whole spacetime changes, thus the energy attributed to the shell can be given out. (Here, one should note that since the two different quasi-static equilibrium states have the same source $T_{\mu\nu}$, when the particles radiating out of the horizon, they actrully have left out of the space we dicussed.) Secondly, considering the s-wave WKB approximation, the imaginary part of the action is directly related with the Hamiltonian of tunneling particles. Thus, the first law of thermodynamics is crucial to connect the above two sides, energy changes of the whole spacetime and the Hamiltonian of tunneling particles.

The 4-dimensional FRW metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)\Big(\frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2 d\Omega_2^2\Big), \tag{2}$$

where t is the cosmic time, r is the comoving coordinate, $a(t)$ is the scale factor, $d\Omega_2^2$ represents the line element of a 2-dimensional unit sphere, and $k = -1, 0, 1$ is the spatial curvature

constant. The metric (2) can be rewritten as $ds^2 = h_{ab}dx^a dx^b + \tilde{r}^2 d\Omega_2^2$, with $x^a = (t, r)$, $h_{ab} = \mathrm{diag}(-1, a^2/(1-kr^2))$ and $\tilde{r} = a(t)r$. By definition $h^{ab}\partial_a\tilde{r}\partial_b\tilde{r} = 0$, the radius of the locally defined apparent horizon can be easily given out,

$$\tilde{r} = \tilde{r}_A \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{H^2 + k/a^2}}, \tag{3}$$

where $H \equiv \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter.

It is convenient to use the coordinates $(t, \tilde{r})$ to discuss the tunneling of particles. The metric (2) can be rewritten as

$$ds^2 = -\frac{1 - \tilde{r}^2/\tilde{r}_A^2}{1 - k\tilde{r}^2/a^2}dt^2 - \frac{2H\tilde{r}}{1 - k\tilde{r}^2/a^2}dtd\tilde{r} + \frac{1}{1 - k\tilde{r}^2/a^2}d\tilde{r}^2 + \tilde{r}^2 d\Omega_2^2. \tag{4}$$

The radial null geodesic ($ds^2 = d\Omega_2^2 = 0$) takes

$$\frac{d\tilde{r}}{dt} \equiv \dot{\tilde{r}} = H\tilde{r} \pm \sqrt{H^2\tilde{r}^2 + \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{r}^2}{\tilde{r}_A^2}\right)}, \tag{5}$$

where $+/-$ corresponding to outgoing/ingoing null geodesics. Since the observer is inside the apparent horizon, we consider an incoming mode in the following discussion.

For the definition of surface gravity in such a dynamical systems, we prefer to Hayward's work [16], which is defined as $K^b\nabla_{[a}K_{b]} = \kappa K_a$, where $K^a = -\epsilon^{ab}\nabla_b\tilde{r}$ is the Kodama vector corresponding to metric (4), and ϵ^{ab} denotes the volume form associate with the $(t, \tilde{r})$ part. The dynamical surface gravity can be equivalently expressed as

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{2}\nabla^a\nabla_b\tilde{r}. \tag{6}$$

Using the metric (4), one can find the surface gravity takes[13]

$$\kappa = -\frac{1}{\tilde{r}_A}\left(1 - \frac{\dot{\tilde{r}}_A}{2H\tilde{r}_A}\right). \tag{7}$$

From Eq. (5) and Eq. (7), near the apparent horizon, we have

$$\dot{\tilde{r}} = -\kappa(\tilde{r} - \tilde{r}_A)\frac{1}{H\tilde{r}_A}\left(1 - \frac{\dot{\tilde{r}}_A}{2H\tilde{r}_A}\right)^{-1}. \tag{8}$$

The imaginary part of the action for an s-wave ingoing positive energy particle which crosses the horizon inwards from $\tilde{r}_i$ to $\tilde{r}_f$ can be expressed as

$$\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{S} = \mathrm{Im}\int_{\tilde{r}_i}^{\tilde{r}_f} p_{\tilde{r}} d\tilde{r} = \mathrm{Im}\int_{\tilde{r}_i}^{\tilde{r}_f}\int_{0}^{p_{\tilde{r}}} dp'_{\tilde{r}} d\tilde{r} = \mathrm{Im}\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i}^{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_f}\int_{\tilde{r}_i}^{\tilde{r}_f} \frac{d\tilde{r}}{\dot{\tilde{r}}} d\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i}^{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_f} \frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}{2T} H\tilde{r}_A\Big(1 - \frac{\dot{\tilde{r}}_A}{2H\tilde{r}_A}\Big), \tag{9}$$

where we have used the Hamilton's equation $\dot{\tilde{r}} = d\tilde{\mathcal{H}}/dp_{\tilde{r}}|_{\tilde{r}}$, the relation between Hawking temperature and surface gravity $T = |\kappa|/2\pi$, and the contour integral at the pole $\tilde{r} = \tilde{r}_A$.

Evaluating the integral (9), the form of the Hamiltonian $d\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is necessary to be given out. Now, appealing to energy conversation, we turn to the system to guess the form of $d\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. However, explicit time-dependence in a dynamical system, the Hamiltonian is no-longer equal to the total energy of the system. Luckily, according to Hayward's work, we still can determine out the relation between the Hamiltonian and the total energy of the system.

Two conserved currents can be introduced in our spherical dynamical system. The first is the Kodama vector K^a, and the corresponding conserved charge is the area volume $V = \int_\sigma K^a d\sigma_a = 4\pi\tilde{r}^3/3$, where $d\sigma_a$ is the volume form times a future directed unit normal vector of the space-like hypersurface σ_a. Another is defined as the energy-momentum density $j^a \equiv T^a_b K^b$ along the Kodama vector, and the conserved charge is $E = -\int_\sigma j^a d\sigma_a$ which is equal to the Misner-Sharp energy.

The total energy inside the apparent horizon can be given as $E_h = \tilde{r}_A/2$, which is the Misner-Sharp energy with the radius $\tilde{r} = \tilde{r}_A$ of a spherical system [13]. The energy outside the region can be expressed as $E_m = -\int_\sigma T^a_b K^b d\sigma_a$, where the integration extends from the apparent horizon to infinity. Thus the total energy of the spacetime can be expressed as

$$E_T = \frac{\tilde{r}_A}{2} - \int_\sigma T^a_b K^b d\sigma_a. \tag{10}$$

As a result of tunneling, the parameters (mass, charge, etc.) which fix the radius of the horizon change, and this further leads to a change in the radius of the horizon. We can convince that the only physical change occurring due to the process of tunneling is the radius of the horizon. The two states have radius $\tilde{r}_A$ and $\tilde{r}_A + \delta\tilde{r}_A$, respectively, but have a

common source $T_{\mu\nu}$ of perfect fluid with nonzero pressure P and energy density ρ near the apparent horizon.

However, in our case, we would like to emphasize that as a conserved charge the Misner-Sharp energy is considered from the viewpoint of a so-called Kodama observer, whose world-lines are the integral curves of the Kodama vector. For the metric (4), the Kodama vector is $K^\mu = (\sqrt{1-\frac{k\tilde{r}^2}{a^2}}, 0, 0, 0)$. The energy-momentum tensor of the perfect fluid corresponding to the comoving coordinate (2) has the form, $T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)U_\mu U_\nu + p g_{\mu\nu}$. It is easily to find that the $(0,0)$ component of energy momentum tensor corresponding to the metric (4) takes $T^0_0 = -\rho$.

Now, we can give the energy changes between the final and initial states of the tunneling process, which contributes to the shell from the viewpoint of a Kodama observer. According to energy conservationwe have

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{H} =& E_T^f(\tilde{r}_A + d\tilde{r}_A) - E_T^i(\tilde{r}_A) \\ =& \frac{\delta\tilde{r}_A}{2} - \left(\int_{\tilde{r}_A+\delta\tilde{r}_A}^{\infty} - \int_{\tilde{r}_A}^{\infty}\right) T^0_0 K^0 d\sigma_0 = \frac{\delta\tilde{r}_A}{2} - \left(\int_{\tilde{r}_A+\delta\tilde{r}_A}^{\infty} - \int_{\tilde{r}_A}^{\infty}\right) T^0_0 K^a d\sigma_a \\ =& dE_h - \rho dV. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Since the energy difference $d\mathcal{H}$ is measured by a Kodama observer inside the apparent horizon, near the apparent horizon we have

$$d\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{d\mathcal{H}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{k\tilde{r}^2}{a^2}}\,|_{\tilde{r}=\tilde{r}_A}} = \frac{d\mathcal{H}}{H\tilde{r}_A} \tag{12}$$

Substituting Eq. (11) and Eq. (12) into Eq. (9), yields

$$\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{S} = \int_{\mathcal{H}_i}^{\mathcal{H}_f} \frac{d\mathcal{H}}{2T}\Big(1 - \frac{\dot{\tilde{r}}_A}{2H\tilde{r}_A}\Big) = \int \frac{dE_h - \rho dV}{2T}\Big(1 - \frac{\dot{\tilde{r}}_A}{2H\tilde{r}_A}\Big). \tag{13}$$

The above expression can be further simplified. The Friedmann equation of spacetime and the continuity equation of the perfect fluid $T_{\mu\nu}$ have the form

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi}{3}\rho, \quad \dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + P) = 0. \tag{14}$$

Using Eq. (3) and the Friedmann equation, one can easily check that the total energy inside the apparent horizon satisfies $E_h = \tilde{r}_A/2 = \rho V$ with $V = 4\pi\tilde{r}_A^3/3$. Thus, we have

$$dE_h = d(\rho V) = V\dot{\rho}dt + \rho dV = -3H(\rho + P)Vdt + \rho dV. \tag{15}$$

Combining Eq. (13) and Eq. (15), one can obtain

$$\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{S} = \int \frac{dE_h - WdV}{2T}, \tag{16}$$

where $W = (\rho - P)/2$ is the work density.

Using the unified first law of thermodynamics Eq. (1), from Eq. (16) we finally have

$$\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{S} = \int \frac{dS}{2}. \tag{17}$$

Now, one can immediately obtain the semi classical tunneling rate in the FRW universe,

$$\Gamma \sim e^{-2\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{S}} = e^{-\int_{S_i}^{S_f} dS} = e^{-\triangle S}, \tag{18}$$

where $\triangle S = S_f - S_i$. This is the well-known result obtained in Ref. [4] for a general, stationary, asymptotically flat, spherically symmetric black hole background. And as a consequence of the first law of thermodynamics, this result appears in Refs. [9, 10]. Here, we recover it in a dynamical spacetime background, the FRW universe. The minus signs which appear in the last two exponential index in Eq. (18) causes by the entropy differences of initial and final states of the system, here obviously we have $S_f > S_i$.

In summary, we analyze how tunneling is intimately connected with the unified first law of thermodynamics through the principle of energy conversation in a dynamical system, the FRW universe. Our discussion shows that the tunneling rate arises as a natural consequence of the unified first law of thermodynamics $dE_h = TdS + WdV$ at the apparent horizon.

On the other hand, our proceeding clearly expresses the physical meaning of the locally defined Hawking temperature $T = |\kappa|/2\pi$, which is associated with the apparent horizon of the FRW universe. For such a dynamical system, the Hawking temperature is measured by an Kodama observer inside the horizon.

The author (Jiang K.-X.) would like to thank Dr. Zhu T. for pointing out some defects and helpful discussion.

---

[1] S. Hawking, Nature 30 (1974) 248;
S. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. 43, 199 (1975).

[2] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D 13(1976) 2188;
G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D 15(1977) 2752;
S. M. Christensen and S. A. Fulling, Phys. Rev. D 15 (1977) 2088.

[3] P. Kraus, F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. B 437 (1995) 231;
P. Kraus, F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. B 433 (1995) 403.

[4] M. K. Parikh and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 5042;
M. K. Parikh, *Preprint* hep-th/0402166.

[5] M. Angheben, M. Nadalini, L. Vanzo, S. Zerbini, JHEP 0505 (2005) 014.

[6] K. Srinivasan, T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 24007;
S. Shankaranarayanan, K. Srinivasan, T. Padmanabhan, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 16 (2001) 571;
S. Shankaranarayanan, T. Padmanabhan, K. Srinivasan, Class. Quantum Grav. 19 (2002) 2671.

[7] E. C. Vagenas, Phys. Lett. B 533 (2002) 302;
A. J. M. Medved, E. C. Vagenas, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 20 (2005) 2449;
M. Arzano, A. J. M. Medved, E. C. Vagenas, JHEP 0509 (2005) 037;
Q.-Q. Jiang, S.-Q. Wu, X. Cai, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 064003;
Y. Hu, J. Zhang, Z. Zhao, *Preprint* gr-qc/0611026;
Y. Hu, J. Zhang, Z. Zhao, *Preprint* gr-qc/0611085;
Z. Xu, B. Chen, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 024041;
X. Wu, S. Gao, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 044027;
C.-Z. Liu, J.-Y. Zhu, *Preprint* gr-qc/0703055;
R. Kerner, R.B. Mann, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 084022;

B. Chatterjee, A. Ghosh, P. Mitra, *Preprint* hep-th/0704.1746;

J.-R. Ren, R. Li, F.-H. Liu, *Preprint* gr-qc/0705.4336.

[8] Y. Hu, J. Zhang, Z. Zhao, *Preprint* gr-qc/0601018.

[9] S. Sarkar, D. Kothawala, Phys. Lett. B 659 (2008) 683, *Preprint* gr-qc/0709.4448.

[10] T. Pilling, Phys. Lett. B 660 (2008) 402, *Preprint* gr-qc/0709.1624.

[11] B. Zhang, Q.-Y. Cai and M.-S. Zhan, Phys. Lett. B 665 (2008) 260.

[12] S. A. Hayward, R. Di Criscienzo, L. Vanzo, M. Nadalini and S. Zerbini, *Preprint* gr-qc/0806.0014.

[13] R.-G. Cai and S. P. Kim, JHEP 0502 (2005) 050;

M. Akbar and R.-G. Cai, Phys. Lett. B 635 (2006) 7;

A. V. Frolov and L. Kofman, JCAP 0305 (2003) 009;

U. K. Danielsson, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 023516;

R. Bousso, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 064024;

G. Calcagni, JHEP 0509 (2005) 060;

M. Akbar and R.-G. Cai, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 084003;

M. Akbar and R.-G. Cai, Phys. Lett. B 648 (2007) 243;

Y.- G. Gong and A.-Z. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007) 200301.

[14] R.-G. Cai, L.-M. Cao and Y.-P. Hu, *Preprint* hep-th/0809.1554.

[15] H. Kodama, Prog. Theor. Phys. 63 (1980)1217;

M. Minamitsuji and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 044021;

I. Racz, Class. Quant. Grav. 23 (2006) 115.

[16] S. A. Hayward, Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 6467;

S. A. Hayward, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 1938;

S. A. Hayward, Class. Quantum Grav. 15 (1998) 3147;

S. A. Hayward, S. Mukohyama S and M. C. Ashworth, Phys. Lett. A 256 (1999) 347;

M. C. Ashworth and S. A. Hayward, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 084004.